

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,625	11/05/2003	Jianmin Wang	50103-522	4865
41552 7590 69724/2009 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 11682 EL CAMINO REAL			EXAMINER	
			AKANBI, ISIAKA O	
SUITE 400 SAN DIEGO,	CA 92130-2047		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2886	
			MATE TARTE	DET HERMALORE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/700 625 WANG ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ISIAKA O. AKANBI 2886 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 January 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.5-11.15-18.21 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,5-11,15-18,21 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 05 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other:

Art Unit: 2886

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

The amendment filed on 05 January 2009 has been entered into this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 5-11, 15-18 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fielden et al. (6,917,419 B2) in view of Toida (5,428,447).

Regarding to claims 1, 11 and 21, Fielden teaches of an apparatus/method for measuring surface topography of a surface comprising:

a linearly polarized light source (figs. 3 and 4: 44) that generates a light beam;

Art Unit: 2886

optics (fig. 4: 48) that focus the light beam on a surface to be measured such that a normally incident beam deflection is provided, the optics including polarization optics such that the incident beam has a first polarization and a reflected beam from the surface has a second polarization different from the first polarization, the optics including:

a wave plate (figs. 3 and 4: 48) that receives the light beam from the linearly polarized light source;

a long working distance microscope objective (focusing lenses/additional focusing lenses)(col. 38, lines 34-35)(fig. 4: 48) positioned to receive the light beam as an input from the wave plate and output a converging light beam; and

a polarizing beam splitter (fig. 3: 50) having a 45° reflective surface positioned to reflect/receive as an input the output of the a long working distance microscope objective (focusing lenses/additional focusing lenses)(col. 38, lines 34-35)(fig. 4: 48, see fig. 3 for clarity)

and produce as an output a light beam (i.e. converging) with the first polarization from the long working microscope objective (fig. 3: 48)(col. 38, lines 28-43) towards the surface (fig. 3: 40) in a normally incident direction to the surface; and

a position sensitive detector (figs. 3 and 4 : 38) (fig. 3: 46)(col. 38, lines 16-27) positioned to detect the reflected beam (col. 37, lines 57-col. 38, line 3), and

Further, Fielden teaches of optical component (figs. 3 and 4: 48) that is capable of being arranged in the same manner as recited in the instant application claims 1, 11 and 21, that may includes beam splitters or dichroic mirrors, quarter wave plates,

Art Unit: 2886

polarizers such as linear and circular polarizers, focusing lens and additional lenses coupled to or disposed within the illumination system or detection system (figs. 3 and 4: 38)(figs. 3 and 4)(col. 38, lines 28-col. 40, line 33) and thus meet the limitations such as optics that focus the light beam on a surface to be measured such that a normally incident beam deflection is provided, the optics including polarization optics such that the incident beam has a first polarization and a reflected beam from the surface has a second polarization different from the first polarization, the optics including: a wave plate that receives the light beam from the linearly polarized light source:

a long working distance objective positioned to receive the light beam as an input from the wave plate and output a converging light beam; and

a polarizing beam splitter positioned to receive as an input the output of the

wave plate and produce as an output a light beam with the first polarization; and
a position sensitive detector positioned to detect the reflected beam

Fielden is silent regarding the optics specifically having a half-wave plate that receives the light beam. However, the use of (i.e. \(\lambda \)/2 wave plate) to receive light beam from a linearly polarized light source is known in the art.

Further, Toida from the same field of endeavor teaches the use of half-wave plate (fig.6: 128) that receive light beam (figs. 5-7)(col. 26, line 36-col. 29, line 5-10). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provide optics that includes a half-wave plate that receives the

Art Unit: 2886

light beam because it is easier to adjust/rotates the direction of the polarization of the beam than adjusting the source.

As to claims 5 and 15, Fielden also discloses the limitations wherein the optics further include a quarter-wave plate (figs. 3 and 4: 48) positioned to receive as an input the light beam with the first polarization and output a beam in a direction normally incident to the surface (figs. 3 and 4: 40)(col. 38, lines 53-56), the reflected beam from the surface being reflected by the quarter-wave plate (figs. 3 and 4: 52)(col. 38, lines 58-61) towards the position sensitive detector (figs. 3 and 4: 48) with the second polarization

and wherein the step of changing the polarization includes passing the reflected beam through a quarter-wave plate that changes the polarization of the reflected beam to the second polarization from the first polarization (this is inherent part of the detection system of figs. 3 and 4).

As to claims 6 and 16, Fielden when modified by Toida fails to specify which polarization (p-polarization or s-polarization) is first or second. However, since the wave-plate can be set to a default or un-actuated polarizing state (s or p), there is no reason to specify which polarization is first or second.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a first polarization that is p-polarization and a second polarization that is s-polarization for the purpose of providing a light beam having a polarization state (p or s) at a level determined by the detector.

Art Unit: 2886

As to claim 7, Fielden also discloses wherein the polarizing beam splitter (fig. 3: 50) includes a 45° reflective surface positioned to reflect the beam reflected from the surface (fig. 3: 40) in a direction perpendicular to the direction normally incident to the surface.

As to claim 8, Fielden further discloses wherein the long working microscope objective (figs. 3 and 4: 48)(col. 38, lines 28-43) outputs the converging light beam in a direction perpendicular to a normally incident direction to the surface (figs. 3 and 4: 40).

As to claim 9, Fielden also discloses wherein the optics further include a polarizing beam splitter (fig. 3: 50) having a 45° reflective surface positioned to reflect the converging light beam from the long working microscope objective (fig. 3: 48)(col. 38, lines 28-43) towards the surface (fig. 3: 40) in a normally incident direction to the surface.

As to claims 10 and 22, Fielden also discloses the limitations wherein the optics further include a quarter-wave plate positioned to receive as an input the light beam with the first polarization from the polarizing beam splitter and output a beam that is normally incident of the surface, with a reflected beam from the surface having the second polarization and directed by the quarter-wave plate through the polarizing beam splitter in a direction normal to the surface towards the position sensitive detector (fig. 3)(col. 38, lines 28-61).

As to claim 17, Fielden further Fielden discloses wherein the step of directing a beam of light includes directing the converging beam in a direction perpendicular to a

Art Unit: 2886

normally incident direction to the surface towards a reflective surface of a polarizing beam splitter (fig. 3: 50) that reflects the converging beam towards the surface in a direction normally incident to the surface (fig. 3: 40).

As to claim 18, Fielden also discloses wherein the step of directing the reflected beam includes transmitting the reflected beam through the polarizing beam splitter (fig. 3: 50) in a normal direction to the surface towards the position sensitive detector (fig. 3: 46)(col. 38, lines 16-27).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments/remarks, (see pages 7-9), filed on 05 January 2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's arguments that neither Fielden nor Toida show or suggest, either alone or in combination, "the invention as currently claimed" and "does not provide the specificity that would enable one of ordinary skill in the art to consider the claims of the present invention to be obvious". It is respectfully pointed out to applicant that this argument is not persuasive, as the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge

Art Unit: 2886

generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Additionally, it is respectfully pointed out to applicant that by applicant's own account (page 8, par. 2) Fielden list items that can be substituted in and out, potentially millions of different combinations may be formed by selection and arrangement of these different components (i.e. to form the instant application claimed invention). There it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Fielden in conjunction with Toida teaches to provide an apparatus/method for measuring surface topography of a surface accurately. (see In re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975) (see In re Japikse, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950).

Finally, The Examiner respectfully submits that obviousness does not require absolute predictability, only a reasonable expectation of success, i.e., a reasonable expectation of obtaining similar properties. See, e.g., In re O'Farrell, 853 F2d 894, 903, 7 USPQ2d 1673, 1681 (Fed. Cir. 1988). As such, the claims are still rejected as shown in the detail above

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/700,625

Art Unit: 2886

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isiaka Akanbi whose telephone number is (571) 272-8658. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tarifur R. Chowdhury can be reached on (571) 272-2287. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/700,625

Art Unit: 2886

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isiaka Akanbi

March 19, 2009

/TARIFUR R CHOWDHURY/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2886